

> 31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

# Yara Pilbara Fertilisers 2024 Compliance Assessment Report **Ministerial Statement 1194** Renewable Hydrogen Plant

Document No:	720-200-CAR-YUR-0002
Validity	This document was issued for information on 31 October 2024
Document Custodian	Environment and Quality Manager
Document Approver	Plant Manager



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### Confidential and commercial sensitive information

Yara Pilbara considers that the information contained in this report (the Information) comprises, confidential and commercially sensitive information about its business operations, particularly in relation to its propriety systems, procedures and processes at its plant and operations.

Yara Pilbara is concerned that disclosure of this confidential and commercially sensitive information may cause it to suffer loss and damage, including in relation to its dealings with suppliers and/or contracting parties.

Accordingly, Yara Pilbara requests that it be given 15 business days' notice prior to:

- a) any public disclosure or publication of the information; or
- b) any release of any Information or the substance of the Information or MHF Status to a third party, including but not limited to a proposed release pursuant to a request made under the Freedom of Information Act 1992 (WA).

### **Proponent Declaration**

Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Pty Ltd is pleased to submit this Compliance Assessment Report as per Condition 8-6 of Ministerial Statement 1194.

I, Susan Giles, Environment and Sustainability Manager declare that I am authorised on behalf of Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Pty Ltd (being the person responsible for the proposal) to submit this form and that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.

Signature:

Date: 31/10/2024



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Сои	FIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL SENSITIVE INFORMATION	. 2
Pro	PONENT DECLARATION	. 2
1	INTRODUCTION	.5
1.1	Purpose	. 5
1.2	PROJECT DETAILS	. 5
1.3	CURRENT STATUS	. 5
1.4	CAP	. 5
2	METHODOLOGY	.6
2.1	TERMINOLOGY	. 6
3	COMPLIANCE	.7
3.1	STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE	. 7
	3.1.1 Proposal and Proponent Details	. 7
	3.1.2 Statement of Compliance Details	. 7
3.2	DETAILS OF NON-COMPLIANCE	. 8
	3.2.1 NC #1	. 8
	3.2.2 PNC #2	10
	3.2.3 NC #3	11
4	CONCLUSION	12
	Appendix 1 – DWER Audit Table	13
	Appendix 2 – Management Plans	25
	Appendix 2 – Management Plans	20



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1: Compliance Status Terms	6
Table 2: MS1194 DWER Audit Table	
Table 3: Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) Audit Table	25
Table 4: Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) Audit Table	
Table 5: Impact Reconciliation Procedure (IRP) Audit Table	



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose

This Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) outlines the compliance status of Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Pty Ltd (YPF) against the Conditions of Ministerial Statement 1194 (MS1194) for the period 4 August 2023 to 3 August 2024.

This CAR has been prepared to meet the requirements of Condition 8-6 of MS1194, which requires the proponent, YPF, to submit a compliance report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 15 months after the date of issue of MS1194 (4 August 2022) and then annually from the date of submission of the first CAR (4 November 2023).

### 1.2 Project Details

YPF operates a liquid ammonia plant (the Ammonia Plant) on the Burrup Peninsula near Dampier, in the Pilbara region of Western Australia.

The Ammonia Plant was built in the Hearson Cove-King Bay industrial precinct. It exports anhydrous liquid ammonia via the bulk liquid berth at the Pilbara Port Authority's Dampier operations, as well as to the neighbouring facility operated by Yara Pilbara Nitrates Pty Ltd, to manufacture technical ammonium nitrate (TAN).

The Ammonia Plant is making a significant amendment to the existing proposal in the form of a Renewable Hydrogen Plant. The Renewable Hydrogen Plant will use electrolysis and a solar photovoltaic (PV) farm to produce hydrogen that will then be piped into the existing facility.

The Renewable Hydrogen Plant gained approval under Part IV through MS1194 on 4 August 2022.

### 1.3 Current Status

Works for the Renewable Hydrogen Plant commenced on 1 December 2022. Approximately 19.48 hectares of land has been cleared within the Disturbance Footprint and 60% of project work completed. Commissioning is expected Q1 of 2025.

### 1.4 CAP

A Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) was submitted for approval on the 1 December 2022. Revisions of this CAP were requested on the 12 December 2022 and 15 August 2023. This CAR assesses compliance with conditions in accordance with the submitted CAP.

No changes are proposed for the CAP.



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### 2 Methodology

Yara undertook an internal assessment of compliance using the audit table developed for MS1194 CAP. The assessment was performed for the Renewable Hydrogen Plant and involved reviewing documents and records, site inspections and interviews with personnel where applicable.

### 2.1 Terminology

The "status" field of the audit table describes the implementation of actions and compliance with MS1194. Although the Chief Executive Officer of DWER makes the final determination of compliance, it is necessary to update this field each reporting period, as the project progresses. DWER has prepared updated guidance related to the preparation of compliance audits, including generic expressions that are used to identify the status of each action (Table 1).

**Table 1: Compliance Status Terms** 

Status	Status Abbreviation	Description					
Compliant	С	Implementation of the proposal has been carried out in accordance with requirements of the audit element.					
Completed	CLD	A requirement with a finite period of application has been satisfactorily completed.					
Not required at this stage	NR	The requirements of the audit element were not triggered during the reporting period.					
Potentially non-compliant	PNC	Possible or likely failure to meet the requirements of the audit element.					
Non-compliant	NC	Implementation of the proposal has not been carried out in accordance with requirements of the audit element.					
In process	IP	Where an audit element requires a management or monitoring plan be submitted to the DWER or another government agency for approval, that submission has been made and no further information or changes have been requested by the DWER or the other government agency and assessment by the DWER or other government agency for approval is still pending.					

Source: Adapted from Section 2.8 of DWER's Post Assessment Guideline (PAG 1) Preparing an Audit Table



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### 3 Compliance

### 3.1 Statement of Compliance

The results of the audit of MS1194 are shown in the DWER Audit Table (Appendix 1). A total of 49 items were audited.

The audit found the following:

- 25 elements were found to be compliant;
- 10 elements were found to be not required at this stage;
- 8 elements were found to be closed; and
- 6 elements were assessed as being either potentially non-compliant or non-compliant.

As per the "DWER Statement of Compliance Guidelines", each non-compliance and potential non-compliance is presented in the "Post Assessment Form 2" format in Section 3.2.

### 3.1.1 Proposal and Proponent Details

Proposal Title	Ammonia Plant, Murujuga (Burrup Peninsula), Renewable Hydrogen Project
Statement Number	Statement Number 1194
Proponent Name	Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Pty Ltd
Proponent's Australian Company Number (where relevant)	095 441 151

### 3.1.2 Statement of Compliance Details

Reporting Period				4 August 2023 to 3 August 2024					
Implementation phase(s) during reporting period (please tick ✓ relevant phase(s))									
Pre-construction Construction				Operation		Decomm	nissioning		
Audit Table for Stateme	dressed in this Staten	nent of (	Compliance is provided	at:		Appendix	1		
Were all implementation conditions and/or procedures of the Statement complied with within the reporting period? (please tick ✓ the appropriate box)									
No				Yes					



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### 3.2 Details of Non-Compliance

### 3.2.1 NC #1

Which implementation condition or procedure was non-compliant or potentially non-compliant	npliant?					
1194:M1, 1194:M3.1, 1194:M3.5 and 1194:M3.7						
Was the implementation condition or procedure non-compliant or potentially non-compl	liant?					
Non-compliant Non-compliant						
On what date(s) did the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occur (if applicable	e)?					
May 2023 (detected 17 April 2024)						
Was this non-compliance or potential non-compliance reported to the Chief Executive C	Officer, DWER?					
✓ Yes  □ Reported to OEPA verbally X Reported to OEPA in writing  Date 23/04/2024  □ No						
What are the details of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance and where rele of and impacts associated with the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?	evant, the extent					
On Wednesday 17 April 2024 Yara identified that mobile plant had disturbed ground (Mof the Disturbance Footprint. Approximately 0.01 hectares of vegetation and topsoil was outside of the footprint. The area extends a maximum of 6 metres into the 50m buffer for to the north of the project. Closest clearing to the rockpiles outside of the approved dist is 60 metres. There are no heritage areas within the impacted area.	s disturbed om the rockpiles					
What is the precise location where the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occapplicable)? (please provide this information as a map or GIS co-ordinates)	curred (if					
Map - Figure 2 and 3, Attachment 27 (Incident Investigation)						
What was the cause(s) of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?						
Project consultants who prepared the project referral used the GDA2020 coordinate system where onsite project contractors use the GDA94 coordinate system. Pegging of the disturbance footprint used the GDA2020 coordinates with GDA94 survey equipment resulting in a discrepancy.  Sparce placement of survey stakes did not clearly define the small changes in clearance zone.						
What remedial and/or corrective action(s), if any, were taken or are proposed to be taken in response to the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?						
A rehabilitation plan has been developed and is currently under review by a botanist. R activities will be undertaken until the CEO has confirmed in writing that it has been dem threshold criteria are being met and implementation of the management and/or conting no longer required.	onstrated that the					



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev

What measures, if any, were in place to prevent the non-compliance or potential non-compliance before it occurred? What, if any, amendments have been made to those measures to prevent re-occurrence?

Several controls were in place to prevent unauthorised clearing however these failed to identify an issue with the use of different coordinate systems:

- IFC Drawings
- Work Packs
- Survey Markers
- Systematic Inspections

The Incident Investigation provides 4 recommendations. The recommendations include updates/improvements to the above controls. The Incident Investigation Report (Ref: 250-214-LET-DWER-003) was provided to DWER on the 15 May 2024.

Please provide information/documentation collected and recorded in relation to this implementation condition or procedure:

- in the reporting period addressed in this Statement of Compliance; and
- as outlined in the approved Compliance Assessment Plan for the Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance.

(the above information may be provided as an attachment to this Statement of Compliance)



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### 3.2.2 PNC #2

Which implementation condition or procedure was non-compliant or potentially non-compliant?						
1194:M3.6(5)						
Was the implementation condition or procedure non-compliant or potentially non-comp	liant?					
Potentially non-compliant						
On what date(s) did the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occur (if applicable	e)?					
15 May 2024						
Was this non-compliance or potential non-compliance reported to the Chief Executive 0	Officer, DWER?					
✓ Yes  □ Reported to OEPA verbally  X Reported to OEPA in writing  Date 09/10/2024	□ No					
What are the details of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance and where released and impacts associated with the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?	evant, the extent					
On Tuesday 8 October 2024 it was identified that the Incident Investigation Report due exceedance being reported was submitted 22 days after submission on 15 May 2024, I May 2024. This Incident Investigation Report was in relation to the clearing breach incidence 23 April 2024 (250-214-LET-DWER-0002).	ather than 14					
What is the precise location where the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occapplicable)? (please provide this information as a map or GIS co-ordinates)	curred (if					
n/a						
What was the cause(s) of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?						
Administrative error						
What remedial and/or corrective action(s), if any, were taken or are proposed to be taken in response to the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?						
Training						
What measures, if any, were in place to prevent the non-compliance or potential non-compliance before it occurred? What, if any, amendments have been made to those measures to prevent re-occurrence?						
Reporting Procedure						
Please provide information/documentation collected and recorded in relation to this impoundation or procedure:	plementation					
<ul> <li>in the reporting period addressed in this Statement of Compliance; and</li> <li>as outlined in the approved Compliance Assessment Plan for the Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance.</li> <li>(the above information may be provided as an attachment to this Statement of Compliance)</li> </ul>						



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### 3.2.3 NC #3

Which implementation condition or procedure was non-compliant or potentially non-compliant?							
1194:M2.6(4)							
Was the implementation condition or procedure non-compliant or potentially non-compliant?							
Non-compliant							
On what date(s) did the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occur (if applicable)?							
9 July 2024							
Was this non-compliance or potential non-compliance reported to the Chief Executive Officer, DWER?							
Yes  Reported to OEPA verbally X Reported to OEPA in writing  Date 23/10/2024							
What are the details of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance and where relevant, the extent of and impacts associated with the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?							
On Thursday 17 October 2024 it was identified that the Incident Investigation Report due 90 days after the non-achievement of management target(s) specified in the confirmed Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Plan (CHVAMP) being reported was submitted to DWER 196 days after submission. This Incident Investigation Report was in relation to the dust incident reported on the 10 April 2024 (250-214-LET-DWER-0004). Please note the Incident Investigation Report was submitted to the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on the 22 April 2024; 12 days after initial notification.							
What is the precise location where the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occurred (if applicable)? (please provide this information as a map or GIS co-ordinates)							
n/a							
What was the cause(s) of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?							
Administrative error							
What remedial and/or corrective action(s), if any, were taken or are proposed to be taken in response to the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?							
Training							
What measures, if any, were in place to prevent the non-compliance or potential non-compliance before it occurred? What, if any, amendments have been made to those measures to prevent re-occurrence?							
Reporting Procedure							
Please provide information/documentation collected and recorded in relation to this implementation condition or procedure:  • in the reporting period addressed in this Statement of Compliance; and  • as outlined in the approved Compliance Assessment Plan for the Statement addressed in this							

(the above information may be provided as an attachment to this Statement of Compliance)

Statement of Compliance.



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### 4 Conclusion

YPF has been found to be compliant with all conditions of MS1194, except for six conditions. These non-compliances or potential non-compliances are in relation to three instances.

On Wednesday 17 April 2024 Yara identified that mobile plant had disturbed ground outside of the disturbance footprint. Approximately 0.01 hectares of vegetation and topsoil was impacted. The area extends a maximum of 6 metres into the 50m buffer from the rockpiles to the north of the project. Closest clearing to the rockpiles outside of the approved disturbance footprint is 60 metres. There are no heritage areas within the impacted area. Conditions 1, 3.1, 3.5 and 3.7 were assessed as being non-compliant. An investigation into the non-compliance has been undertaken and improvement actions raised to prevent the non-compliance occurring again.

On Tuesday 8 October 2024 it was identified that the Incident Investigation Report due twenty-one (21) days after the exceedance being reported was submitted twenty-two (22) days after submission on 15 May 2024, rather than 14 May 2024. This Incident Investigation Report was in relation to the clearing breach incident reported on the 23 April 2024 (250-214-LET-DWER-0002).

On Thursday 17 October 2024 it was identified that the Incident Investigation Report due ninety (90) days after the non-achievement of management target(s) specified in the confirmed Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Plan (CHVAMP) being reported was submitted 196 days after submission. This Incident Investigation Report was in relation to the dust incident reported on the 10 April 2024 (250-214-LET-DWER-0004). Please note the Incident Investigation Report was submitted to the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on the 22 April 2024, 12 days after initial notification.



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### Appendix 1 – DWER Audit Table

#### NOTES

- Phases that apply in this table = Pre-Construction, Construction, Pre-Commissioning, Operation, Decommissioning, Overall (several phases).
- This audit table is a summary of the requirements applying to this Project. Refer to MS1194 issued under Part IV of the EP Act for details/precise wording of audit elements.
- Code prefixes: M = Minister's condition and P = Proponent's commitment.
- Abbreviations: Min Env = Minister for the Environment;
- Compliance Status: C = Compliant, CLD = Completed, NR = Not required at this stage, PNC = Potentially non-compliant, NC = Non-compliant. Please note the terms NA = Not Audited and VR = Verification Required are only for OEPA use. IP = In Process may only be used by the proponent in circumstances outlined in Section 2.8 of the *Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing an Audit Table*.
- Acronyms list: YPF = Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Pty Ltd; CAR = Compliance Assessment Report; DWER = Department of Water and Environmental Regulation; CEO = Chief Executive Officer of DWER; N/A = not applicable; FESA = Fire and Emergency Services Authority of Western Australia.

### Table 2: MS1194 DWER Audit Table

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
1194:M1	Limitations and Extent of Proposal	When implementing the proposal, the proponent shall ensure the proposal does not exceed the following extents:  Physical elements:  Development envelope. Location: Figures 1, 2 and 3. Maximum extent or range: 73 ha.  Physical elements:  Disturbance footprint. Location: Figures 2 and 3. Maximum extent or range: 22.94 ha (which includes 21.23 ha of vegetation and 1.71 ha that has been previously cleared).  Timing elements:  Project life: Maximum extent or range: Up to 20 years from date of this Statement.	Proposal to be implemented in accordance with Figures 1, 2 and 3 detailed within Ministerial Statement 1194, for up to 20 years (from the 4 August 2022)	Annual Compliance Assessment Report (CAR)  Visual Inspections  Non-compliance Notification [26]	Overall	Ongoing	NC	Vegetation has been cleared outside of the Disturbance Footprint. On Wednesday 17 April 2024 Yara identified that mobile plant had disturbed ground outside of the disturbance footprint. Approximately 0.01 hectares of vegetation and topsoil was impacted.  Refer to Condition 3.6 for further details.
1194:M2.1	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	The proponent shall implement the proposal to meet the following outcomes:  1) Avoid direct disturbance of Aboriginal Heritage sites located in the exclusion zones within the development envelope that are shown in Figure 3;  2) Subject to reasonable health and safety requirements, allow traditional owner and custodians access and connection to culturally significant areas within and surrounding the development envelope; and  3) Allow traditional owner and custodian access to the development envelope following decommissioning of the proposal.	Develop a Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan that meets the outcomes stipulated in Condition 2.1	Annual CAR  Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) [3]	Overall	Ongoing	С	An audit of the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 3.  The audit found there was:  No direct disturbance of Aboriginal Heritage Sites; and Traditional owners and custodians were allowed access to culturally significant areas.  Outcome 3 is currently not required.
1194:M2.2	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	The proponent shall implement the proposal to meet the following objectives:  1) Avoid, where possible, and otherwise minimise indirect impacts to social, cultural, heritage and archaeological values within and surrounding the disturbance footprints; and 2) Avoid, where possible, and otherwise minimise direct and indirect impacts to the visual and amenity values of social and cultural places and activities of significance.	Develop a Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan that meets the objectives stipulated in Condition 2.2	Annual CAR  Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) [3]	Overall	Ongoing	С	An audit of the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 3.  The audit found that there was no:  indirect impacts to social, cultural, heritage and archaeological values; and direct and indirect impacts to the visual and amenity values of social



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
								and cultural places and activities of significance.
1194:M2.3	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	At least one (1) month prior to <b>ground disturbing activities</b> , the proponent shall, in collaboration with the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation and the <b>DPLH</b> prepare and submit to the <b>CEO</b> and the <b>Registrar of Aboriginal Sites</b> a Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan, to meet the objectives specified in Condition 2-2 and this plan shall:  1) Specify the objectives to be achieved, as specified in Condition 2-2; 2) Include a framework for consultation with traditional owners and custodians via the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation and other relevant stakeholders during the life of the proposal; 3) Specify construction environmental management activities relevant to cultural heritage, not limited to and including noise, vehicle emissions and provide for relevant traditional owners and custodians to be invited to observe any <b>ground disturbing activities</b> during construction and take reasonable steps to facilitate the observation of those activities by those persons; 4) Specify operational environmental management activities relevant to cultural heritage and visual amenity; 5) Specify management actions that will be implemented to demonstrate compliance with the outcomes specified in Condition 2-1 and the objectives specified in Condition 2-2; 6) Specify measurable management target(s) to determine the effectiveness of the management actions; 7) Specify monitoring to measure the effectiveness of management actions against management actions and changes to activities, in the event that the outcomes, objectives, and management targets are not achieved and such process must include an investigation to determine the cause of the outcome, objective or management target(s) not being met; 9) Provide the format and timing to demonstrate that Conditions 2-1, 2-2, and 2-3 have been met for the reporting period in the Compliance Assessment Report required by Condition 8-6 including, but not limited to: a) Verification of the implementation of management actions; and b) Reporting on the effectiveness of manageme	Amenity Management Plan in consultation with MAC and DPLH that addresses the objectives stipulated in	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) [3]  Correspondence with MAC and DPLH about development of CHVAMP [41]  Endorsement of CHVAMP from the MAC Circle of Elders [40]  Email submission of CHVAMP to CEO over one (1) month prior to ground disturbing activities [39]	Pre-construction	one (1) month prior to ground disturbing activities	CLD	The Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan was developed in collaboration with MAC and the DPLH.  Endorsement of the CHVAMP was given on the 12 October 2022 by the MAC Circle of Elders.  Submission of the CHVAMP was made on the 12 October 2022. Approximately 7 weeks prior to ground disturbing activities commencing on the 1 December 2022.  This condition can be considered closed and is outside of the reporting period.
1194:M2.4	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	The proponent must not commence <b>ground disturbing activities</b> until the CEO confirmed in writing that the plan submitted under Condition 2-3 satisfies the requirements of Condition 2-3.		Letter of Approval [38]	Pre-construction	Prior to ground disturbing activities	CLD	Endorsement of the CHVAMP was given on the 16 of November 2022. Ground disturbing activities commenced on the 1 December 2022, 15 days after approval.  This condition can be considered closed and is outside of the reporting period.
1194:M2.5	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	The proponent must implement the most recent version of the <b>confirmed</b> Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan until the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing that the proponent has demonstrated the outcomes specified in Condition 2-1 and	Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan until notified by CEO	Annual CAR Submission [52]	Overall	Ongoing	С	An audit of the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 3.



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		objectives in Condition 2-2 have been met; or are able to be met under another statutory decision-making process.		Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan Compliance Assessment				The audit found that:  • All conditions have been met during the reporting period.
1194:M2.6	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	In the event that monitoring, tests, surveys or investigations indicate non-achievement of outcomes specified in Condition 2-1 or management target(s) specified in the confirmed Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan, the proponent must:  1) Report the non-achievement in writing to the CEO, the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation within twenty-one (21) days of the non-achievement being identified; 2) Where the non-achievement impacts a registered Aboriginal site where consent has not been granted under section 18 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972, report the non-achievement to DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within twenty-one (21) days of the non-achievement being identified; 3) Investigate to determine the cause of the outcome or management target(s) not being achieved; 4) Provide a further report to the CEO, the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation the DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within ninety (90) days of the non-achievement being reported as required by Condition 2-6(1) and, where relevant, 2-6(2) which must include: a) A description of the cause of outcome or management target(s) being exceeded if known, or analysis of likely causes if not known; b) The findings of the investigation required by Condition 2-6(3); c) Details of revised and/or additional management actions to be implemented to prevent non-achievement; and d) Relevant changes to activities.	If a non-achievement occurs for Condition 2.1 or management target(s) specified the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan the proponent must:  1) Report non-achievement to the CEO and MAC within 21 days of detection  2) Report non-achievement to DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within 21 days of detection that a registered Aboriginal site has been impacted without consent under section 18 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972  3) Investigate cause of the outcome or management target(s) not being achieved  4) Provide a report to the CEO, MAC, DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within 90 days of the non-achievement being initially reported. The report must a) describe the cause of outcome or management target(s) being exceeded, or analysis of likely causes; b) provide findings of Condition 2.6(3); c) detail revised and/or additional management actions to prevent non-achievement; and d) relevant changes to activities	Notification of non-achievement to CEO and Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) within 21 days of detection [22] [23] [28] [29]  Notification to DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within 21 days of non-achievement detected when a registered Aboriginal site has been impacted without consent under section 18 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972  Report provided to the CEO, MAC, DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within 90 days of the non-achievement being initially reported. Report addresses Condition 2.6(4) [25] [55] [57]	Overall	Ongoing	NC	An audit of the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 3.  Three non-achievements occurred during the reporting period in relation to the Management Target of zero dust events during the reporting period.  1. The dust events on the 7 March 2024 and 13 March 2024 were reported on the 28 March 2024 (21 days after non-achievement). The lime dust event on the 3 April 2024 was reported on the 10 April 2024 (7 days after the non-achievement).  2. The dust events didn't impact a registered Aboriginal Site. Condition 2-6(2) was not triggered during the reporting period.  3. Incident investigations were undertaken for all three dust events.  4. An incident investigation report was provided to DWER and MAC for the 7 March 2024 and 13 March 2024 incidents on the 26 June 2024, ninety (90) days after the non-achievement being reported.  An incident investigation report for the lime dust event on the 3 April 2024 was not reported within ninety (90) days of initial notification to DWER. The report was submitted on the 23 October 2024. Please note the report was submitted to MAC within the required timeframe (12 days after initial notification).  Condition 2-6(4) is non-compliant due to the submission of an incident investigation report to DWER being submitted outside of ninety (90) days.
1194:M2.7	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	In the event that monitoring, tests, surveys or investigations indicate that one or more management action(s) specified in the <b>confirmed</b> Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan have not been implemented, the proponent must:  1) Report the failure to implement the management action(s) in writing to the <b>CEO</b> , the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation, within seven days of identification; 2) Where the failure impacts a registered Aboriginal site where consent has not been granted under section 18 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972, report the failure to the <b>DPLH</b> , and the <b>Registrar of Aboriginal Sites</b> within seven days of identification;	If a management action(s) specified the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan has not been implemented the proponent must:  1) Report failure to implement management action(s) to the CEO and MAC within 7 days of identification  2) Report failure to implement management action(s) to DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within 7 days of identification	Notification of failure to implement management actions to CEO and Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) within 7 days of identification  Vegetation and Heritage Survey	Overall	Ongoing	С	An audit of the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 3.  The audit found that:  • All management actions and requirements were implemented during the reporting period.  As there has been no non-achievements, Condition 2-7 has been met.



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		<ol> <li>Investigate to determine the cause of the management action(s) not being implemented;</li> <li>Investigate to determine potential environmental harm or alteration of the environment that occurred due to the failure to implement management action(s);</li> <li>Provide a further report to the CEO, the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation, and where relevant the DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within twenty-eight (28) days of the failure being identified, which must include:         <ul> <li>Cause for failure to implement management action(s);</li> <li>The finding of the investigation required by Condition 2-7(3);</li> <li>Relevant changes to activities; and</li> <li>Measures to prevent, control or abate the environmental harm which may have occurred.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	that a registered Aboriginal site has been impacted without consent under section 18 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972  3) Investigate cause of the outcome or management action(s) not being implemented  4) Provide a report to the CEO, MAC, DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within 28 days of the failure to implement management action(s) has been identified. The report must a) describe cause for failure to implement management action(s); b) the finding of the investigation required by Condition 2.7(3); c) the relevant changes to activities; and d) measures to prevent, control or abate the environmental harm which may have occurred	Incident Reports  Notification to DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within 7 days of failure to implement management actions has been identified when a registered Aboriginal site has been impacted without consent under section 18 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972.  Report provided to the CEO, MAC, DPLH, and the Registrar of Aboriginal Sites within 28 days of the of failure to implement management actions has been identified. Report addresses Condition 2.7(4)				
1194:M2.8	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	Without limiting Condition 2-5 (implementation of the plan) and notwithstanding compliance with Condition 2-6 (response to exceedance), the proponent must not cause or allow:  1) A failure to implement one or more management actions specified in the <b>confirmed</b> Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan and/or 2) Failure to comply with the requirements of the <b>confirmed</b> Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan.	Comply with the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan	Annual CAR [52]  Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan Compliance Assessment  Notification of failure to implement management actions to CEO and Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) within 7 days of identification	Overall	Ongoing	С	An audit of the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 3.  The audit found that:  • All management actions and requirements were implemented during the reporting period.  As there has been no non-achievements, Condition 2-8 has been met.
1194:M2.9	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity	The proponent, in collaboration with the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation:  1) May review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan and submit it to the CEO; and  2) Shall review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan and submit it to the <b>CEO</b> as and when directed by the <b>CEO</b> .	Revise the Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan when desired or directed by the CEO	Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan Revisions	Overall	Ongoing	С	A revision of the CHAVMP was not conducted during the reporting period.  Rev 3 of the CHVAMP was submitted on the 16 May 2023. Approval is pending.



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
1194:M3.1	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management	The proponent shall implement the proposal to meet the following environmental outcomes:  1) Clearing in the fauna habitat type identified as Foothills shall not exceed 18.6 ha;  2) Clearing in the fauna habitat type identified as Minor Drainage Lines shall not exceed 1.06 ha;  3) Clearing the fauna habitat type identified as Floodplains shall not exceed 0.16 ha;  4) Clearing in the fauna habitat type identified as Waterbody (tidal flats) shall not exceed 1.45ha; and  5) Impacts to short-range endemic fauna species are avoided, unless it is demonstrated and the CEO confirms in writing that it is reasonably likely that a population of the species occurs outside the development envelope in an area not under threat.	Develop a Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan that meets the outcomes stipulated in Condition 3.1	Annual CAR [52]  Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) [4]  Non-compliance Notification [26]	Overall	Ongoing	NC	Clearing occurred outside of the disturbance footprint.  On Wednesday 17 April 2024, YPF became aware that mobile plant had disturbed ground outside of the disturbance footprint. Approximately 0.01 hectares of vegetation and topsoil was impacted.  Refer to Condition 3.6 for further details.
1194:M3.2	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management	The proponent shall implement the proposal to achieve the following environmental objectives:  1) Avoid where possible and otherwise minimise direct and indirect impacts to EPBC Act and BC Act listed migratory / marine birds and the Pilbara olive python within the development envelope; and  2) No introduction of weeds into the development envelope, and no increase in the abundance or distribution of existing weeds within or outside the development envelope.	Develop a Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan that meets the objectives stipulated in Condition 3.2	Annual CAR [52]  Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) [4]	Overall	Ongoing	С	An audit of the Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 4.  The audit found:  There was no impacts to EPBC Act and BC Act listed migratory / marine birds and the Pilbara olive python; and  No introduction or increased distribution of weeds within or outside the development envelope.
1194:M3.3	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management	At least one (1) month prior to <b>ground disturbing activities</b> within the development envelope delineated in Figure 2, or such lesser time approved in writing by the <b>CEO</b> , the proponent shall, in consultation with the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation submit to the <b>CEO</b> a Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan which shall:  1) Demonstrate how the environmental outcomes in Condition 3-1 and environmental objectives in Condition 3-2 be achieved;  2) Include details of the outcomes of a detailed short-range endemic fauna survey undertaken within the development envelope and surrounding region prior to <b>ground disturbing activities</b> ;  3) Include provisions to avoid where practicable and otherwise minimise impacts to significant terrestrial fauna species, including short-range endemic fauna and migratory and marine birds, including, but not limited to, impacts from:  a) Clearing of habitat; b) Lighting; c) Noise and vibration; d) Dust; e) Vehicle and machinery movement strike; f) Entrapment in trenches or ponds; g) The attraction of feral animals; h) Fire; and i) The introduction and spread of weeds.  4) Provide for relevant traditional owners to be invited to observe any <b>ground disturbing activities</b> during construction, and take reasonable steps to facilitate the observation of those activities by those persons;	Develop a Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan in consultation with MAC that addresses the objectives stipulated in Condition 3.3 and submit to the CEO at least 1 month prior to ground disturbing activities	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) [4]  Correspondence with MAC about development of TFWMP  Email submission of TFWMP to CEO over one (1) month prior to ground disturbing activities [51]	Pre-construction	At least one (1) month prior to ground disturbing activities	CLD	Submission of the TFWMP was made on 27 October 2022. Approximately 5 weeks prior to ground disturbing activities commencing on 1 December 2022.  This condition can be considered closed and is outside of the reporting period.



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		<ul> <li>5) Specify trigger criteria that will trigger the implementation of management and/or contingency actions to prevent direct or indirect impacts to significant terrestrial fauna species, including short-range endemic fauna and migratory and marine birds;</li> <li>6) Specify threshold criteria to demonstrate compliance with Conditions 3-1 and 3-2;</li> <li>7) Specify monitoring methodology to determine if trigger criteria and threshold criteria have been met;</li> <li>8) Specify management and/or contingency actions to be implemented if the trigger criteria required by Condition 3-3(5) and/or the threshold criteria required by Condition 3-3(6) have not been met; and</li> <li>9) Provide the format and timing for the reporting of monitoring results against trigger criteria and threshold criteria to demonstrate that Conditions 3-1 and 3-2 have been met over the reporting period in the Compliance Assessment Report required by Condition 8-6.</li> </ul>						
1194:M3.4	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management	The proponent must not commence <b>ground disturbing activities</b> until the <b>CEO</b> has confirmed in writing that the Fauna Management Plan satisfies the requirements of Condition 3-3.	Receive written notification from the CEO that the Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan submitted satisfies the requirements of the condition	Letter of Approval [42]	Pre-construction	Prior to ground disturbing activities	CLD	Endorsement of the TFWMP was given on 22 November 2022. Ground disturbing activities commenced on 1 December 2022, 9 days after approval.  This condition can be considered closed and is outside of the reporting period.
1194:M3.5	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management	The proponent shall implement the most recent versions of the <b>confirmed</b> Fauna Management Plan until the <b>CEO</b> has confirmed by notice in writing that the proponent has demonstrated that the environmental outcomes in Condition 3-1 and environmental objectives detailed in Condition 3-2 have been met.	Implement the Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan until notified by CEO that it is no longer required	Annual CAR [52]  Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan Compliance Assessment  Notification from CEO that Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan is no longer required	Overall	Ongoing	NC	An audit of the Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 4.  The audit found there was a non-compliance in relation to:  • Clearing (refer to Condition 3.6) outside of the disturbance footprint
1194:M3.6	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management	In the event that the environmental outcomes in Condition 3-1 are exceeded, or monitoring or investigation at any time indicate an exceedance of threshold criteria specified in the <b>confirmed</b> Fauna Management Plan, the proponent shall:  1) Report the exceedance in writing to the <b>CEO</b> within seven (7) days of the exceedance being identified; 2) Implement the management and/or contingency actions required by Condition 3-3(8) within seven (7) days of the exceedances being reported as required by Condition 3-6(1) and continue implementation of those actions until the <b>CEO</b> has confirmed by notice in writing that it has been demonstrated that the threshold criteria are being met and implementation of the management and/or contingency actions are no longer required; 3) Investigate to determine the cause of the threshold criteria being exceeded; 4) Investigate to provide information for the <b>CEO</b> to determine potential environmental harm or alteration of the environment that occurred due to threshold criteria being exceeded;	outcomes or threshold criteria specified	Notification (720-200-LET-YPF-0029) of exceedance to CEO within 7 days of detection [26]  Annual CAR  Report (250-214-LET-DWER-0003) provided to the CEO within 21 days of the exceedance being initially reported. Report addresses Condition 3.6(5) [27]	Overall	Ongoing	PNC	Conditions 1, 3.1, 3.5 and 3.7 were exceeded:  1. On Wednesday 17 April 2024 Yara identified that mobile plant had disturbed ground outside of the disturbance footprint. On the 23 April 2024 (6 days) DWER were notified of this noncompliance (250-214-LET-DWER-0002),  2. All project work was suspended upon discovery of exceedance. A rehabilitation plan has been developed. Rehabilitation activities will be undertaken until the CEO has confirmed in writing that it has been demonstrated that the threshold criteria are being met and implementation of the management and/or contingency actions are no longer required,  3. The direct cause of the event was the use of incorrect coordinate systems,



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		<ul> <li>5) Provide a further report to the CEO within twenty-one (21) days of the exceedance being reported as required by Condition 3-6(1) which report shall include: <ul> <li>a) Details of management and/or contingency action implemented;</li> <li>b) The effectiveness of the management and/or contingency actions implemented against the threshold criteria;</li> <li>c) The findings of the investigations required by Conditions 3-6(3) and 3-6(4);</li> <li>d) Measures to prevent the threshold criteria being exceeded in the future;</li> <li>e) Measures to prevent, control or abate the environmental harm which may have occurred; and</li> <li>f) Justification of the threshold criteria remaining, or being adjusted based on better understanding, demonstrating that outcomes will continue to be met.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Investigate to determine the cause of exceedance;</li> <li>Investigate to determine potential environmental harm or alteration of the environment that occurred due to exceedance; and</li> <li>Provide a report to the CEO within 21 days of the exceedance being reported. The report must address: a) management and/or contingency action implemented; b) effectiveness of the management and/or contingency actions implemented against the threshold criteria; c) findings of the investigations required by Conditions 3.6(3) and 3.6(4); d) measures to prevent the threshold criteria being exceeded in the future; e) measures to prevent, control or abate environmental harm which may have occurred; and f) Justify threshold criteria remaining, or being adjusted, demonstrating that outcomes will continue to be met</li> </ol>	Revegetation Management Plan [59]				<ol> <li>Approximately 0.01 hectares of vegetation and topsoil was disturbed outside of the footprint,</li> <li>On the 15 May 2024 (22 days after initial notification to DWER) an Incident Investigation Report and supporting letter (250-214-LET-DWER-003) was provided to DWER. The letter addresses Condition 3.6 (5).</li> <li>Condition 3.6 is potentially non-compliant due to late submission of the incident report (one day).</li> </ol>
1194:M3.7	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management	Without limiting Condition 3-5 (implementation of the plans) and notwithstanding compliance with Condition 3-6 (response to exceedance), the proponent must not cause or allow:  1) A failure to implement one or more management and/or contingency actions, if the relevant threshold criteria have been exceeded; 2) The exceedance of a threshold criteria (regardless of whether the relevant management and/or contingency actions have been or are being implemented; and/or 3) A failure to comply with the requirements of the confirmed Fauna Management Plan.	Comply with the Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan	Annual CAR [52]  Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan Compliance Assessment	Overall	Ongoing	NC	An audit of the Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) was conducted for the reporting period; refer to Appendix 2, Table 4.  The audit found there was non-compliances in relation to:  Clearing (refer to Condition 3.6) outside of the disturbance footprint
1194:M3.8	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management	The proponent, in consultation with the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation:  1) May review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Fauna Management Plan and submit to the <b>CEO</b> ; and 2) Shall review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Fauna Management Plan and submit to the <b>CEO</b> as and when directed by the <b>CEO</b> .	Revise the Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan when desired or directed by the CEO		Overall	Ongoing	С	The TFWMP was not updated during the reporting period.  A revision of the TFWMP (Rev 4) was submitted to the CEO for approval on 18 April 2023. The latest revision is waiting for approval.
1194:M4.1	Offsets	The proponent shall contribute funds to the <b>Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund</b> , (for the implementation of offset projects preferably located in Murujuga) calculated pursuant to Condition 4-2, to counterbalance the significant residual impacts to:  1) 'Good' to Excellent' condition native vegetation, including foraging habitat for EPBC Act and BC Act listed migratory / marine birds and the Pilbara olive python.	Environmental Offsets Fund as per the	Receipt of funds contributed to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund  Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR) [53] [54]	Overall	After the second 30 June following the commencement of ground disturbing activity	С	As per Condition 4-5(4) the first biennial period finished on 30 June 2024. The submission of the Impacts Reconciliation Reports (IRR) was on the 23 October 2024. Correspondence with the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund will be undertaken, and funds transferred shortly; as per Condition 4-9(2).
1194:M4.2	Offsets	The proponent's provisional contribution to the <b>Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund</b> shall be paid after the conclusion of the biennial reporting period specified in Condition 4-5(4) and 4-5(5), with the provisional amount to be contributed calculated based on	Environmental Offsets Fund after the first biennial reporting period. The	Pilbara Environmental	Overall	After the second 30 June following the commencement of	С	As per Condition 4-5(4) the first biennial period finished 30 June 2024. The submission of the IRR was on the 23 October 2024.



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		the clearing undertaken during the biennial reporting period in accordance with the highest applicable rate specified in Condition 4-3 for the relevant type of vegetation.	undertaken during the reporting period in accordance with the highest applicable rate (as per Condition 4.3) for 'Good to Excellent' vegetation	Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR) [53] [54]		ground disturbing activity		Correspondence with the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund will be undertaken, and funds transferred shortly; as per Condition 4-9(2).
1194:M4.3	Offsets	Calculated on the 2020-2021 financial year, the contribution rate is:  1) \$1,679 AUD (excluding GST) per hectare of 'Good to Excellent' condition native vegetation, including foraging habitat for EPBC Act and BC Act listed migratory and marine birds and the Pilbara olive python, cleared for the proposal within the Roebourne IBRA subregion within that financial year.	Calculate the contribution rate for 'Good to Excellent' condition native vegetation by using the 2020-21 financial year rate and taking into consideration the CPI as per Condition 4.4	Receipt of funds contributed to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund  Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR) [53] [54]	Overall	After the second 30 June after the first day of ground disturbing activity	С	The submission of the IRR was on the 23 October 2024. The IRR uses the calculation stated in the Impact Reconciliation Procedure (IRP), as per Condition 4-3 and 4-4.
1194:M4.4	Offsets	The rate in Condition 4-3 changes annually each subsequent financial year in accordance with the percentage change in the <b>CPI</b> applicable to that financial year.	Calculate the contribution rate for 'Good to Excellent' condition native vegetation by using the 2020-21 financial year rate and taking into consideration the CPI for the financial year	Receipt of funds contributed to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund  Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR) [53] [54]	Overall	After the second 30 June following the commencement of ground disturbing activity	С	The submission of the IRR was on the 23 October 2024. The IRR uses the calculation stated in the IRP, as per Condition 4-3 and takes into consideration CPI as per Condition 4-4.
1194:M4.5	Offsets	The proponent must prepare and submit a Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Pty Ltd Impact Reconciliation Procedure to the CEO prior to the ground disturbing activities which must:  1) Spatially define the environmental value(s) identified in Condition 4-1;  2) Spatially define the areas in respect of which offsets required by Condition 4-1 are to be calculated;  3) Include a methodology to calculate the amount of clearing undertaken during each year of the biennial reporting period for each of the environmental values identified in Condition 4-3(1);  4) State the clearing calculation for the first biennial reporting period will commence from the first date of ground disturbing activities in accordance with Condition 4-2 and end on the second 30 June following the commencement of ground disturbing activities;  5) State that clearing calculation for each subsequent biennial reporting period will commence on 1 July of the required reporting period, unless otherwise agreed by the CEO;  6) Indicate the timing and content of the Impact Reconciliation Reports; and  7) Be prepared in accordance with Instructions on how to prepare Environmental Protection Act 1986 Part IV Impact Reconciliation Procedures and Impact Reconciliation Reports (or any subsequent revisions).	Develop a Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Pty Ltd Impact Reconciliation Procedure that addresses the objectives stipulated in Condition 4.5 and submit to the CEO prior to ground disturbing activities	Yara Pilbara Fertilisers	Pre-construction	Prior to ground disturbing activities	CLD	Submission of the Impact Reconciliation Procedure (IRP) was made on the 7 October 2022. Approximately 7 weeks prior to ground disturbing activities commencing on the 1 December 2022.  This condition can be considered closed and is outside of the reporting period.
1194:M4.6	Offsets	The proponent must not commence <b>ground disturbing activities</b> until the <b>CEO</b> has confirmed by notice in writing that the Impact Reconciliation Procedure satisfies the requirements of Condition 4-5.	CEO that the Reconciliation Procedure	Letter of Approval [49]	Pre-construction	Prior to ground disturbing activities	CLD	Endorsement of the IRP was given on the 9 November 2022. Ground disturbing activities commenced on the 1 December 2022, 22 days after approval.  This condition can be considered closed and is outside of the reporting period.
1194:M4.7	Offsets	The proponent:  1) May review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Impact Reconciliation Procedure or	Revise the Reconciliation Procedure when desired or directed by the CEO	Reconciliation Procedure Revisions	Overall	Ongoing	С	The reconciliation procedure has not been revised during the reporting period.



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		Shall review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Impact     Reconciliation Procedure as and when directed by the <b>CEO</b> by a notice in writing.						
1194:M4.8	Offsets	The proponent shall submit Impacts Reconciliation Reports in accordance with the <b>confirmed</b> Impact Reconciliation Procedure.	Submit Impacts Reconciliation Reports in accordance with the confirmed Impact Reconciliation Procedure four (4) months after (30 October) the second 30 June after the first day of ground disturbing activity and then biennial after this date	Impacts Reconciliation Reports [53] [54]	Overall	Ongoing	С	As per Condition 4-5(4) the first biennial period finished on 30 June 2024. The submission of the Impacts Reconciliation Reports (IRR) was on the 23 October 2024. This is prior to the due date 30 October 2024.
1194:M4.9	Offsets	Despite payment of the provisional contribution, the proponent's liability to make a contribution under this condition shall be finally determined:  1) By the Minister upon application by the proponent in writing to the Minister to reduce in part or whole the proponent's liability under this condition where:  a) A payment has been made to satisfy a condition of an approval under the EPBC Act in relation to the proposal; and/or  b) The payment is made for the purpose of counterbalancing impacts of the proposal on matters of national environmental significance identified in Condition 4-1; or  2) To be equivalent to the provisional contribution if no application of the kind described in Condition 4-9(1) is made within twelve (12) months of the conclusion of the relevant biennial reporting period.	Write to the Minister to reduce in part or whole the amount required to contribute to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund when Condition 4.9(1) a or b has been met  Or  Contribute funds to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund equivalent to the provisional contribution at the conclusion of the relevant biennial reporting period and make no application within twelve (12) months for Condition 4.9(1)	Submission to the Minister to reduce in part or whole the amount required to contribute to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund  Or  Receipt of funds contributed to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund equivalent to the provisional contribution		Within twelve (12) months of the conclusion of the relevant biennial reporting period	С	As per Condition 4-5(4) the first biennial period finished 30 June 2024. The submission of the IRR was on the 23 October 2024.  Correspondence with the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund will be undertaken, and funds transferred shortly; as per Condition 4-9(2).
1194-M5.1	Environmental Performance Report	The proponent shall submit an Environmental Performance Report to the Minister and the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation every five (5) years.	Submit an Environmental Performance Report to the Minister and Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) every 5 years	Environmental Performance Report Submission to Minister and MAC	Overall	Ongoing	NR	The first Environmental Performance Report is due 3 months after the 5 year anniversary of ground disturbing activities (refer to 5.2).
1194-M5.2	Environmental Performance Report	The first Environmental Performance Report shall be submitted within three (3) months of the expiry of the five (5) year period commencing from the first date of <b>ground disturbing activities</b> , or such other time as may be approved by the <b>CEO</b> .	Submit the first Environmental Performance Report 3 months after the 5 year anniversary of ground disturbing activities	Environmental Performance Report Submission	Overall	Three (3) months after the five (5) year anniversary of ground disturbing activities	NR	Ground disturbing activities first commenced on the 1 December 2022. The first Environmental Performance Report is due on the 1 March 2028.
1194-M5.3	Environmental Performance Report	Each Environmental Performance Report shall report on proposal impacts on the following environmental values:  a) State of flora and vegetation; b) State of terrestrial fauna; c) State of social surroundings including cultural heritage and visual amenity; and d) State of the holistic environment.	Environmental Performance Report shall report on proposal impacts to: a) state of flora and vegetation; b) state of terrestrial fauna; c) state of social surroundings including cultural heritage and visual amenity; and d) state of holistic environment	Performance Report	Overall	Ongoing	NR	The first Environmental Performance Report is due 3 months after the 5 year anniversary of ground disturbing activities (refer to 5.2).
1194-M5.4	Environmental Performance Report	The environmental Performance Report must include:  a) A comparison of the environmental values identified in Condition 5-3 at the end of the five (5) year period; against the state of each environmental value at the beginning of the five year period; b) A comparison of the environmental values identified in Condition 5-3 at the end of the five year period; against the state of the environmental values identified in first Environmental Performance Report submitted in accordance with Condition 5-2; and	Environmental Performance Report will include: a) comparison of values stated within 5.3 at the beginning and end of the five year period; b) comparison of values stated within 5.3 at the end of the five year period against the beginning of the time period within the first Environmental Performance Report; and c) proposed management and continuous improvement strategies	Environmental Performance Report	Overall	Ongoing	NR	The first Environmental Performance Report is due 3 months after the 5 year anniversary of ground disturbing activities (refer to 5.2).



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		c) Proposed management and continuous improvement strategies.						
1194-M5.5	Environmental Performance Report	The Environmental Performance Report may be in whole or part prepared in conjunction with other proponents where there are cumulative impacts from their proposals.	Environmental Performance Report prepared in collaboration with other proponents when/if there is a cumulative impact	Environmental Performance Report	Overall	Ongoing	NR	The first Environmental Performance Report is due 3 months after the 5 year anniversary of ground disturbing activities (refer to 5.2).
1194-M6.1	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation	At least five (5) years prior to the forecasted completion of the operational phase of the proposal the proponent shall prepare and submit a Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan to the CEO for approval to meet the following environmental objective:  1) Ensure the proposal is decommissioned and rehabilitated in an ecologically sustainable manner.	Submit a Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan to the CEO at least five (5) years prior to decommissioning that addresses the approach to ensure the proposal is decommissioned and rehabilitated in an ecologically sustainable manner	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan	Operation	At least five (5) years prior to Decommissioning	NR	A Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan is not yet required. The project is forecast to operate for up to 20 years.
1194-M6.2	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation	The proponent must implement the most recent version of the <b>confirmed</b> Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan until the <b>CEO</b> has confirmed by notice in writing that the proponent has demonstrated that the environmental objective in Condition 6-1 has been met.	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan	Annual CAR	Overall	Post-operations	NR	A Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan has not been submitted (refer to 6.1).
1194-M6.3	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation	After the submission of the Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the proponent is to include an update on the forecasted completion of the operational phase and decommissioning of the proposal in each subsequent Compliance Assessment Report required by Condition 8-6.	Include within the annual CAR an update on the forecasted completion of the operational phase and decommissioning of the proposal	Annual CAR	Overall	At least five (5) years prior to Decommissioning	NR	A Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan has not been submitted (refer to 6.1).
1194-M6.4	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation	The proponent:  1) May review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan and submit it to the <b>CEO</b> ; and 2) Shall review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan and submit it to the <b>CEO</b> as and when directed by the <b>CEO</b> by a notice in writing.	Revise the Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan when desired or directed by the CEO	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan Revisions	Overall	Ongoing	NR	A Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan has not been submitted (refer to 6.1).
1194-M7.1	Time Limit for Proposal Implementation	The proponent shall not commence implementation of the proposal after five (5) years from the date of this Statement, and any commencement, prior to this date, must be substantial	Construction will not commence after the 4 August 2027 if substantial construction has not commenced	-	Overall	4 August 2027	CLD	Construction has commenced prior to the 4 August 2027 (refer to Condition 7.2).  This condition can be considered closed and is outside of the reporting period.
1194-M7.2	Time Limit for Proposal Implementation	Any commencement of implementation of the proposal, on or before five (5) years from the date of this Statement, must be demonstrated as substantial by providing the CEO with written evidence, on or before the expiration of five (5) years from the date of this Statement.		Written correspondence	Overall	Before the 4 August 2027	С	Construction commenced on the 1 December 2022. A letter will be developed to be sent to the CEO.
1194-M8.1	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall prepare and maintain a Compliance Assessment Plan which is submitted to the <b>CEO</b> at least six (6) months prior to the first Compliance Assessment Report required by Condition 8-6.		Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) [2]	Overall	4 May 2023	CLD	The first Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) was due on the 4 November 2023. The Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) was submitted on the 1 December 2022, more than 11 months prior.
				Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) Submission [6]				This condition can be considered closed and is outside of the reporting period.
1194-M8.2	Compliance Reporting	The Compliance Assessment Plan shall indicate:  1) The frequency of compliance reporting; 2) The approach and timing of compliance assessments; 3) The retention of compliance assessments; 4) The method of reporting of potential non-compliances and corrective actions taken;	Develop a Compliance Assessment Plan that indicates the requirements stipulated in Condition 8.2	Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) [2]  CAP Revisions [7] [8]	Overall	Ongoing	С	The Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) was submitted on the 1 December 2022. Revisions of the CAP were requested and submitted on the 12 December 2022 and 15 August 2023.



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		<ul> <li>5) The table of contents of Compliance Assessment Reports; and</li> <li>6) Public availability of Compliance Assessment Reports.</li> </ul>						
1194-M8.3	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall assess compliance with conditions in accordance with the <b>confirmed</b> Compliance Assessment Plan required by Condition 8-1.	Implement the Compliance Assessment Plan for annual Compliance Assessment Reports	Annual CAR Submission [52] Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) [2]	Overall	Ongoing	С	This Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) is assessing conditions according to the Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP).  All future CAR's will assess compliance in accordance with the approved CAP.  During the reporting period the 2023 Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) for Ministerial Statement 1194 was submitted on the 3 November 2023.
1194-M8.4	Compliance Reporting	All Compliance Assessment Reports shall be retained until the proposal is fully implemented (including decommissioning and rehabilitation) or such other period agreed in writing by the <b>CEO</b> .	Retain all Compliance Assessment Reports for the life of the proposal (including decommissioning and rehabilitation) or for the time period agreed to in writing by the CEO.	Annual CAR's	Overall	Ongoing	С	Annual CARs are retained by YPF and are publicly available online via the link below:  https://www.yara.com.au/about-yara/about-yara-australia/pilbara/project-yuri/
1194-M8.5	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall advise the <b>CEO</b> of any potential non-compliance within seven (7) days of that non-compliance being known to the proponent.	Notify the CEO of any potential non-compliances within 7 days of being identified	Notification to CEO within 7 days of a non-compliance being identified [25] [36] [56]	Overall	Ongoing	С	Non-compliances with Condition 1, 3.1, 3.5 and 3.7 was detected on the 17 April 2024. A notification was sent to MAC and the CEO on the 23 April 2024, six (6) days after identification.  Potential non-compliance with Condition 3.6 (5) was detected on the 8 October 2024. A notification was sent on the 9 October 2024, one (1) day after identification.  Potential non-compliance with Condition 2.6(4) was detected on the 17 October 2024. A notification was sent on the 23 October 2024, six (6) days after identification.
1194-M8.6	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall submit to the <b>CEO</b> the first Compliance Assessment Report fifteen (15) months from the date of issue of this Statement addressing the twelve (12) month period from the date of issue of this Statement and then annually from the date of submission of the first Compliance Assessment Report, or as otherwise agreed in writing by the <b>CEO</b> .		Annual CAR Submission [52]	Overall	4 November 2023 and then annually from this date.	С	The 2023 Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) was submitted on the 3 November 2023.
1194-M8.7	Compliance Reporting	<ol> <li>Each Compliance Assessment Report shall:         <ol> <li>Be endorsed by the proponent's Chief Executive Officer or a person delegated to sign on the Chief Executive Officer's behalf;</li> <li>Include a statement as to whether the proponent has complied with the conditions;</li> <li>Identify all potential non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken;</li> </ol> </li> <li>Be made publicly available in accordance with the approved Compliance Assessment Plan; and</li> <li>Indicate any proposed changes to the Compliance Assessment Plan required by Condition 8-1.</li> </ol>	Submit Compliance Assessment Report's (CAR's) that addresses Condition 8.7		Overall	Ongoing	С	In accordance with M8.7 all CAR's are:  1. endorsed by the Plant Manager (or delegate),  2. includes a statement of compliance,  3. identifies all potential noncompliances,  4. will be retained by YPF and is publicly available on the company website (see M8.4), and  5. indicates any proposed changes to the CAP.  The 2023 Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) was submitted on the 3 November 2023.



Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
1194-M8.8	Compliance Reporting	The proponent:  1) May review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Compliance Assessment Plan; and 2) Shall review and revise the <b>confirmed</b> Compliance Assessment Plan as and when directed by the <b>CEO</b> .	Revise the Compliance Assessment Plan when desired or directed by the CEO	Compliance Assessment Plan Revisions [7] [8]  Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) [2]	Overall	Ongoing	С	The Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) was submitted on the 1 December 2022. Revisions of the CAP were requested by the CEO on the 12 December 2022 and 15 August 2023.  The Compliance Assessment has not changed during the reporting period.
1194-M8.9	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall implement the latest version of the <b>confirmed</b> Compliance Assessment Plan.	Implement the CEO approved Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP)	Annual CAR Submission [52] Letter of Approval from CEO for CAP	Overall	Ongoing	С	The Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) was revised on the 15 August 2023. This CAR is in compliance with the CAP.
1194-M9.1	Public Availability of Data	Subject to Condition 9-2, within a reasonable time period approved by the CEO of the issue of this Statement and for the remainder of the life of the proposal, the proponent shall make publicly available, in a manner approved by the CEO, all validated environmental data (including sampling design, sampling methodologies, empirical data and derived information products (e.g. maps)), management plans and reports relevant to the assessment of this proposal and implementation of this Statement.	Make publicly available within the timeframe stipulated by the CEO all validated environmental data, management plans and reports relevant to the assessment of this proposal and implementation of this Statement	Yara Pilbara Fertilisers website: yara.com.au or equivalent	Overall	Within timeframe specified by CEO and for the life of the proposal	С	Management plans, reports and data are publicly available online via the link below:  https://www.yara.com.au/about-yara/about-yara-australia/pilbara/project-yuri/
1194-M9.2	Public Availability of Data	If any data referred to in Condition 9-1 contains particulars of:  1) A secret formula or process; or 2) Confidential commercial sensitive information,  The proponent may submit a request for approval from the CEO to not make these data publicly available. In making such a request the proponent shall provide the CEO with an explanation and reasons why the data should not be made publicly available.	Request to CEO and provide an explanation as to why data should not be made publicly available	Request to CEO to not make information publicly available	Overall	Ongoing	NR	No request has been made during the reporting period to not make information publicly available.



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### Appendix 2 – Management Plans

Table 3: Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) Audit Table

#	Criteria	Response Actions	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
	dition requirements:						
1. av 2. su	oid direct disturbance of Abori bject to reasonable health and	the Proposal to meet the following outco iginal Heritage sites located in the exclus safety requirements, allow traditional ow odian access to the development envelop	ion zones within the dev ner and custodian acces	ss and connection to	culturally significant areas v	vithin and su	rrounding the development envelope; and
1.1		Trigger level action: MAC Heritage Monitors will be appointed for the duration of construction works.	Audit of appointed MAC Heritage Monitors.	Prior to commencement of construction and quarterly thereafter.	List of appointed MAC Heritage Monitors [30]	С	Site access of MAC Heritage Monitors for duration of construction works.
1.2		Trigger level action: MAC Heritage Monitors will be present during all ground disturbing activities.	ID of MAC Heritage Monitors. Visitor access requirements (i.e., sign- in, sign-out).	Daily during ground disturbing activities until completion.	List of appointed MAC Heritage Monitors and Visitor access logs [30] Heritage Inspection	PNC	MAC was not onsite for clearing (0.12 ha) during reporting period. Area cleared during reporting period was endorsed for clearing by MAC prio to commencement.  Condition and criteria achieved for CHVAMP.
1.3	Threshold criteria: The exclusion zones are directly disturbed during construction or operation of the Proposal.	Trigger level action: The exclusion zones will be clearly demarcated with appropriate signage, fencing or flagging in collaboration with MAC Heritage Monitors.	Surveillance and inspection of demarcations with MAC Heritage Monitors.	Prior to commencement of construction and daily during ground disturbing activities until completion. Monthly during operations. Daily during decommissioning activities.	Records (HIRs) [18]  Aerial Imagery- Heritage Exclusion Areas [10]  Inspection Records [11]	С	Heritage Exclusion Areas as defined in the CHVAMP; Figure 3 were clearly demarcated within the Disturbance Footprint. Attachment 10 shows clear demarcation in aerial imagery and Inspection Records indicate the continuous demarcation of these areas.
1.4		Threshold contingency action; If the exclusion zones are directly disturbed, then works will cease and an incident declared. The response and reporting procedure detailed in Section 3.3.1 will be initiated.	Surveillance and inspection of exclusion zones with MAC Heritage Monitors.	Prior to commencement of construction and daily during ground disturbing activities until completion. Monthly during operations. Daily during decommissioning activities.	Incident response records.  Aerial Imagery- Heritage Exclusion Areas [10] Inspection Records [11]	С	Heritage Exclusion Areas as defined in the CHVAMP; Figure 3 were not disturbed during the reporting period.  Attachment 10 shows the Heritage Exclusion Areas within the Disturbance Footprint. A comparison of September 2023 and May 2024 shows no disturbances to the exclusion zones.  There are no records of incidents within any exclusion areas.
1.5		<b>Trigger level action:</b> Archaeological survey of exclusions zones.	Survey completed by MAC approved heritage consultant.	Completion of construction activities.	Survey report.	NR	Archaeological survey not yet required.  Construction activities have not been completed during reporting period.
1.6	Trigger criteria: The exclusion zones are not clearly demarcated with appropriate signage, fencing or flagging prior to the commencement of construction and during ground disturbing activities.	Trigger level action: Works will cease until the demarcations are installed and an incident declared. The response and reporting procedure detailed in Section 3.3.1 will be initiated.	Surveillance and inspection of exclusion zones with MAC Heritage Monitors.	Prior to commencement of construction and daily during ground disturbing activities until completion. Monthly during operations.	Aerial Imagery- Heritage Exclusion Areas [10] Inspection Records [11] Incident response records	С	Heritage Exclusion Areas as defined in the CHVAMP; Figure 3 were clearly demarcated within the Disturbance Footprint. Attachment 10 shows clear demarcation in aerial imagery and Inspection Records indicate the continuous demarcation of these areas.



#	Criteria	Response Actions	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
				Daily during decommissioning activities.			
1.7	Trigger criteria: A new object reasonably suspected as having cultural heritage values is identified within the Development Envelope.	Trigger level action: Works will cease, and an investigation commenced in accordance with the protocol in Appendix C. The response and reporting procedure detailed in Section 3.3.1 will be initiated.  New object will be reported as per section 15 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972.	Visual inspection with MAC Heritage Monitors within Development Envelope during ground disturbing activities. Surveillance and inspection of demarcated exclusion zones through the construction and operational phases of the Proposal.	Daily during ground disturbing activities until completion. Monthly during operations. Daily during decommissioning activities.	Heritage Inspection Records (HIRs) [18] Incident response records	С	No new objects suspected of having cultural heritage values were identified within the development envelope.
2.1	Threshold criteria: Traditional owners and custodians are denied access and connection (subject to reasonable health and safety requirements) to culturally significant areas within and surrounding the development envelope during the life of the Proposal.	Threshold contingency action: The MAC Circle of Elders will be allowed access and connection to culturally significant areas within and surrounding the development envelope during the life of the Proposal.	Surveillance and inspection of culturally significant areas within and surrounding the development envelope.	Annually (MAC preferred frequency) or at any other culturally appropriate time requested by MAC.	Visitor access logs [30] Heritage Inspection Records (HIRs) [11] Complaints log [35]	С	Access was not denied to any traditional owners/custodians during the reporting period.
2.2	Threshold criteria: Traditional owners and custodians are denied access and connection to culturally significant areas within and surrounding the development envelope after decommissioning and rehabilitation of the Proposal.	Threshold contingency action: During decommissioning and rehabilitation of the Proposal, all internal physical structures and hazards limiting access and connection to culturally significant areas will be removed; access paths will be maintained in collaboration with MAC.	Surveillance and inspection of culturally significant areas within and surrounding the development envelope during decommissioning and rehabilitation.	During implementation of the Proposal Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan.	Heritage Inspection Records (HIRs)	NR	Not currently required.  Project area has not been decommissioned or rehabilitated.



#	Criteria	Management Actions	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
1. a	oid, where possible, and otherw	he Proposal to meet the following objectives: rise minimise indirect impacts to social, cultural, he rise minimise direct and indirect impacts to the visi					
1.1	Avoid, where possible, and otherwise minimise indirect impacts to the social, cultural, heritage, and archaeological values.  Target: All employees, contractors and visitors are provided with relevant induction and training.	All site personnel will be inducted on Aboriginal heritage values and responsibilities including management of potential impacts to the social, cultural, heritage, and archaeological values in accordance with this plan:  • All site personnel will, as a minimum, complete an online Aboriginal cultural heritage induction provided by MAC.  • All site supervisors and managers will complete Aboriginal cultural heritage training that is presented by a traditional owner or custodian.	Review of induction and training records.	Monthly	Induction and training records [12] [13]	С	All Yuri personnel have completed a MAC Cultural Awareness Induction. Site supervisor and Managers have completed the in-person Murujuga Cultural Awareness Program.
1.2		Implement complaints management procedure.	Review of number and type of complaints received.	Monthly	Complaints log (Synergi) [35] Incident response	С	One (1) complaint was received during the reporting period regarding dust. Actions were implemented to prevent/mitigate dust generation.  All efforts were made to avoid, and otherwise minimise indirect impacts
					reports		to social, cultural, heritage, or archaeological values being impacted.
2.1	Avoid, where possible, and otherwise minimise indirect impacts from dust events.  Target: Zero dust events.	Vehicles and equipment access limited to designated roads/access tracks and cleared areas which will be clearly identified on site plans and marked by signage.	Surveillance and inspection, including marked maps and photo or video evidence of vehicles using designated roads and tracks.	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records [11]	С	Access roads and perimeter flagging clearly demarcated, preventing unnecessary dust events.
2.2		Dust generating activities to cease until dust control measures are implemented.	Visual monitoring of dust events in the active work areas, including photo or video evidence.	Daily during construction activities	Incident Notification [22] [23] [28]	С	Three (3) incidents recorded (7/03/2024, 13/03/2024 and 03/04/2024 (lime dust)) where insufficient dust suppression was utilised. Work was stopped until dust control measures were implemented.
2.3		Vehicle speed limits will be imposed and enforced on nominated routes and work areas. Speed limits will be identified on site plans and marked by signage.	Surveillance and inspection, including video evidence or use of hand-held or fixed speed detection equipment.	Daily during construction activities	Inspection reports  Yuri Induction and Orientation [20] [21]	С	Site speed limit enforced (20km/hr). Speed limit detailed within induction and signage present within lease.
2.4		Dust suppression, including use of water carts on access roads, must be implemented during construction activities as required.	Inspection of work areas, including photo or video evidence of water cart use. Number of water cart runs per day or volume of water used per day for dust suppression.	Daily during construction activities	Incident Notification [22] [23] [28]  Annual compliance report [52]	С	Three (3) incidents recorded (7/03/2024, 13/03/2024 and 03/04/2024 (lime dust)) where insufficient dust suppression was utilised. Work was stopped until dust control measures were implemented.
3.1	Avoid, where possible, and otherwise minimise indirect impacts from blasting, including fly rock and vibration.	Blasting will be carried out in accordance with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.	Surveillance and Inspection. Audit blasting procedures and work instructions.	Prior to and during each blasting event.	Inspection records.  Audit reports	NR	No blasting was undertaken during the reporting period.



#	Criteria	Management Actions	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
3.2	Target:  • No fly rock of debris outside the disturbance footprint or within cultural heritage exclusion zones.  • No non-compliance with Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.	Any blasting to be undertaken by a licenced shotfirer with the licence current for the extent of works employed for.	Surveillance and Inspection, including video recording of each blast. Audit of blasting procedures and work instructions. Review of induction and training records.	Prior to each blasting event.	Inspection reports.  Audit reports.  Induction and training records.	NR	No blasting was undertaken during the reporting period.
3.3		Low percussion explosives will be used.     Blast mats/shields will be used where recommended by the licensed shotfirer.     Explosives stemming depths will be closely monitored.	Surveillance and Inspection. Audit of blasting procedures and work instructions.	Prior to and during each blasting event.	Inspection reports.  Audit reports.	NR	No blasting was undertaken during the reporting period.
3.4		All works conducted during construction will be subject to vibration mitigation actions.	Surveillance and inspection.	Daily during construction activities.	Inspection reports.	NR	No blasting was undertaken during the reporting period.
4.1	Avoid, where possible, and otherwise minimise indirect impacts from vehicle emissions.	Vehicles will be switched off when not in use.	Surveillance and inspection, including measuring fuel usage (kL) to enable emission calculations.	Daily during construction activities.	Inspection reports.	С	Inspection records have not noted vehicles idling when not in use.
4.2		Low sulphur fuels will be used in construction vehicles and machinery	Fuel specification	Monthly during construction activities.	Fuel delivery receipts [32]	С	Low sulphur fuel is used for construction vehicles and machinery.
5	Avoid, where possible, and otherwise minimise indirect impacts from noise emissions.  Target: No noise complaints from publicly accessible areas.	All construction works will comply with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997	Noise monitoring using a handheld Class 1 or Class 2 sound level meter. Complaints.	Spot-check as determined by the site manager or delegate.	Noise monitoring results [9] Complaints log [35]	С	No noise complaints registered (Synergi) during the reporting period. Quarterly noise monitoring conducted as per Licences L9223/2019 and L9224/2019, all results are within licence limits (65dB(A)).
6.1	Avoid, where possible, and otherwise minimise indirect impacts to visual and amenity values.  Target: No complaints of glare from publicly accessible areas.	Assess for yellow and green glare at Observation Points (OP) 05 and 06 (refer to Figure 4 in Attachment A). Visual observations to be completed by suitably qualified personnel.	Visual observations and photographic record.	OP05 – weekly from 1730-18:30 during the first 1 November-31 December period after installation of all solar arrays. OP06 – weekly from 0600-0700 during the first 1 December-31 January period after installation of all solar arrays.	Glare assessment reports.  Complaints log (Synergi) [35]	NR	Not currently required. Installation of solar array not completed during reporting period.
6.2		Complete risk assessment for glare impacts at OP5 and OP6.	Review of risk assessment	After completion of weekly observation periods	Completed risk assessment	NR	Not currently required.  Installation of solar array was not completed during reporting period.



Table 4: Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) Audit Table

Criteria	Response Actions	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information			
Condition requirements:									
3-1 The proponent shall implement the proposal to meet the following environmental outcomes: 1. Clearing in the fauna habitat type identified as Foothills shall not exceed 18.6 ha; 2. Clearing in the fauna habitat type identified as Minor Drainage Lines shall not exceed 1.06 ha; 3. Clearing in the fauna habitat type identified as Floodplain shall not exceed 0.16 ha; 4. Clearing in the fauna habitat type identified as Waterbody (tidal flats) shall not exceed 1.45 ha; and 5. Impacts to short-range endemic fauna species are avoided									
Trigger criteria: The Disturbance Footprint will be clearly demarcated with appropriate visual markers prior to the commencement of construction and at all times during ground disturbing activities.	Trigger level action: If the Disturbance Footprint is not clearly demarcated with appropriate visual markers prior to the commencement of construction and during ground disturbing activities, then works will cease until the markers are installed.	Visual inspection within Disturbance Footprint	Prior to commencement of construction and daily during ground disturbing activities until completion	Visual inspection reports [11]  Aerial Imagery [19]	С	The Disturbance Footprint as defined the TFWMP, Figure 2 were clearly demarcated during the reporting period. Attachment 19 shows clear demarcation in aerial imagery and Inspection Records indicate continuous demarcation of these areas.			
Threshold criteria: The area of habitat cleared during ground disturbing activities will not exceed:  18.6 ha of Foothills;  1.06 ha of Minor Drainage Lines;  0.16 ha of Floodplain; and  1.45 ha of Waterbody (tidal flats).	Threshold contingency action: If the area of habitat cleared exceeds the relevant threshold criteria, then works will cease, and a rehabilitation plan will be prepared and implemented for the area of habitat cleared outside of the Disturbance Footprint.	Ground survey of ground Disturbance Footprint	At least twice during ground disturbing activities and once on completion	Inspection records  Exceedance Notification [26]  Incident Investigation Report [27]  Revegetation Management Plan [59]	NC	On Wednesday 17 April 2024 Yara identified that mobile plant had disturbed ground outside of the disturbance footprint. On 23 April 2024 DWER were notified of this non-compliance (250-214-LET-DWER-0002).  Approximately 0.01 hectares of vegetation and topsoil was impacted. A rehabilitation plan has been developed. Rehabilitation activities will be undertaken until the CEO has confirmed in writing that it has been demonstrated that the threshold criteria are being met and implementation of the management and/or contingency actions are no longer required.			
Trigger criteria: The SRE fauna exclusion zone (Figure 7) will be clearly demarcated with appropriate visual markers prior to the commencement of and at all times during ground disturbing activities.	Trigger level action: If the SRE fauna exclusion zone is not clearly demarcated with appropriate visual markers prior to the commencement of and during ground disturbing activities, then works will cease until the markers are installed.	Surveillance and inspection of exclusion zone.	Prior to commencement of construction and daily during ground disturbing activities.	Visual inspection reports	С	The SRE fauna exclusion zone was demarcated till requirements were met to allow the area to be cleared (see condition below).			



Criteria	Response Actions	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
Threshold criteria: The SRE fauna exclusion zone will not be cleared until:  1. Further investigation has determined if the SRE fauna species identified at sample site SYAR-005 (Figure 7) are represented outside the Development Envelope in an area not under threat; and  2. This TFWMP has been updated with the findings of the further investigation and relevant trigger criteria, management/response actions and monitoring activities if the investigations indicate that it is reasonably likely that these species do not occur outside the development envelope in an area not under threat; and  3. The CEO has confirmed in writing that the revised TFWMP satisfies the requirements of Condition 3-3.	Threshold contingency action: If the SRE fauna exclusion zone is directly disturbed, then works will cease and an incident declared. The response and reporting procedure detailed in Section 3.3.1 will be initiated.	Surveillance and inspection of exclusion zone.	Prior to commencement of construction and daily during ground disturbing activities.	Visual inspection reports.  Incident response records.  TFWMP (Rev 4) [31]  SRE Surveys [43] [44]  DWER Letter Request for Revision – Remove SRE Buffer [47]	С	An investigation was undertaken determining it is reasonably likely that populations of the SRE species occur outside the Development Envelope in an area not under threat. Therefore, the requirement for the SRE exclusion zone has been removed from the TFWMP (Rev 4), as requested by the CEO on the 17 April 2023. The new revision has been submitted to the CEO; approval is still pending.



Target	Management Action	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
<ul><li>3-2 The proponent shall implement the</li><li>1. avoid where possible and otherwise</li><li>2. no introduction of weeds into the description</li></ul>	se minimise direct and indirect i	impacts to EPBC Act and BC Ac	et listed migratory/marine b			on within the development envelope; and ment envelope
No unauthorised clearing or	Demarcate Disturbance Footprint boundary using appropriate visual markers prior to ground disturbing activities.	Visual inspection within Disturbance Footprint	Prior to commencement of and daily during ground disturbing activities	Inspection records [11]	С	The Disturbance Footprint was demarcated during the reporting period.
disturbance of vegetation to occur outside of disturbance footprint during and attributable to implementation of the proposal	Vehicles and equipment access limited to designated roads/access tracks and cleared areas.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records [11]	С	Access roads and perimeter flagging clearly demarcated.
implementation of the proposal	All site personnel to be inducted/trained on environmental responsibilities.	Review of induction and training records	Monthly during construction activities	Yuri Induction [20]  Training records [13]	С	All site personnel must be inducted prior to access to site. Induction clearly states requirement for vehicles/machinery to stay within site fencing.
	Vehicles and equipment access limited to designated roads/access tracks and cleared areas.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records [11]	С	Access roads and perimeter flagging clearly demarcated.
	Dust generating activities to cease in the event of excessive dust generation until dust control measures are implemented.	Visual monitoring of the active work areas	Daily during construction activities	Incident Reports [22] [28]	С	Three (3) incidents recorded (7/03/2024, 13/03/2024 and 03/04/2024 (lime dust)) where insufficient dust suppression was utilised. Work was stopped until dust control measures were implemented.
No construction-related dust impacts to conservation significant	Vehicle speed limits will be imposed and enforced on nominated routes and work areas.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records	С	Site speed limit enforced (20km/hr).
fauna outside of Disturbance Footprint	Dust suppression, including use of water carts on access roads, must be implemented during construction activities as required.	Inspection of work areas	Daily during construction activities	Incident Reports [22] [28] Annual compliance report	С	Three (3) incidents recorded (7/03/2024, 13/03/2024 and 03/04/2024 (lime dust)) where insufficient dust suppression was utilised. Work was stopped until dust control measures were implemented.
	All site personnel to be inducted on responsibilities to reduce dust generation during daily activities.	Review of induction and training records	Monthly	Induction and training records [13] Yuri Induction [20]	С	Site induction addresses dust generation requirements.
Minimise fauna injury/death during Proposal construction	Establish a fauna interaction log to document all occurrences of:  Observations of conservation significant fauna within Disturbance Footprint; Observation of feral animals in the Development Envelope; and Fauna interaction such as	Review of fauna interaction log	Prior to commencement of and weekly during construction activities	Fauna interaction log – Field View (EPC)	С	Monford – Field View includes fauna interactions



Target	Management Action	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
	injuries, near misses and deaths within Disturbance Footprint.					
	Ground disturbing activities to occur outside of the Pilbara Olive Python breeding season (June to August).	Date of commencement of implementation of the Proposal	On commencement of ground disturbing activities	Written evidence of the commencement of the proposal reported to the CEO in accordance with Condition 7-2 of MS 1194.	С	Most clearing activities (19.48 ha) occurred between the 1 December 2022 and end of May 2023 (outside of the Pilbara Olive Python breeding season).  A small amount of clearing occurred during the reporting period (0.12 ha). This Condition can be considered compliant.
	Trapping and relocation of conservation significant fauna will be conducted prior to ground disturbing activities. All fauna handling and relocation will be carried out by a licensed fauna handler and will be conducted in accordance with the conditions of their licence.	Completion of fauna trapping and relocation survey	No more than seven days prior to commencement of ground disturbing activities Trapping and capture of feral animals	Fauna trapping and relocation survey report [45]	CLD	Fauna trapping was conducted prior to ground disturbing activities.
	A licensed fauna handler and MAC Heritage Monitors will be present to inspect and remove native fauna during ground disturbing activities.	Attendance of licensed fauna handler and MAC Heritage Monitor	Daily during ground disturbing activities	Site attendance log	С	Yara Pilbara staff (environment team) are trained as fauna handlers and available for removal of native fauna.
	Prior to commencing ground disturbing activities, machinery will idle for at least half an hour to provide a warning and noise deterrent	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during ground disturbing activities	Inspection records SWMS [34]	С	SWMS identifies fauna interaction as a hazard and one of the controls as:  • Plant is run for 30 minutes within development area before any work is started, to allow any fauna to move out of work area.
	Ground disturbing activities will be conducted moving in a uniform direction appropriate for the site and to maximise fauna dispersal ability (i.e., linear zig-zag east to west for all machinery passes through vegetation).	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during ground disturbing activities	Inspection records SWMS [34]	С	SWMS identifies fauna interaction as a hazard and one of the controls as:  • Plant to move in uniform direction appropriate to site to maximise fauna dispersal.
	Excavation and trenches will be kept open only as long as needed for the works. Egress points will be provided in appropriate size excavations and trenches. For trenches or excavations that can be covered by a fence panel, this will be completed to prevent	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records	С	Egress points are provided approximately every 10m. Inspections are routinely conducted, and fauna (if found) are removed prior to filling in (e.g. snake removed during reporting period).



Target	Management Action	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
	animal egress. Trenches and excavations will be checked for trapped animals.					
	In the event vertebrate fauna are injured during ground disturbing activities, the management of the animal will be determined by the licensed fauna handler and MAC Heritage Monitor.	Review of fauna interaction log	In response to reported incidents	Fauna interaction log EPC – Field View	С	No injured wildlife during reporting period.
	Vehicles and equipment access limited to designated roads/access tracks and cleared areas.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records [11]	С	Access roads and perimeter flagging clearly demarcated.
	Implement permit to work system for night-time vehicle movements.	Review of issued permits to work	Weekly during construction activities	Permit to work records PEMP [37]	С	No night shift work planned. PEMP states working hours onsite are from 6AM to 6PM.
	No pets, traps, or firearms are to be allowed within the Development Envelope unless required for the control of feral animals.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records	С	Access to the project site is restricted through swipe cards. Security ensures no prohibited items enter site through random searches of vehicles.
	No feeding or direct interactions are to occur with native fauna or feral animals.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records Induction [13] PEMP [37]	С	Requirement to not feed fauna is highlighted in induction. Inspections have not detected fauna being fed.
	All site personnel to be inducted on environmental responsibilities.	Review of induction and training records	Monthly	Induction and training records [13]	С	All site personnel must be inducted prior to entry to site.
	All construction works will comply with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.	Noise monitoring using a handheld Class 1 or Class 2 sound level meter	Spot-check as determined by the site manager or delegate	Noise monitoring results [9]	С	Quarterly noise monitoring conducted as per Licences L9223/2019 and L9224/2019, all results are within licence limits (65dB(A)).
Minimise disturbance to native fauna from noise, vibration and lighting during Proposal construction	All works conducted during the proposal construction will be subject to vibration mitigation actions.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records  PEMP [37]  Machinery Maintenance [33]	С	Machinery hired is primarily from Enviro Hire (MFG Company). All equipment is purchased new with the latest technology. MFG maintains planned preventative maintenance throughout the lifecycle of the equipment to ensure optimal operation and in turn reduced vibrations.
	Prevent mobile light sources shining into nocturnal habitat.	Surveillance and inspection	During night-time construction activities	Inspection records PEMP [37]	С	Lighting within project area only shining into car park/laydown area. No light shining into native habitat. No night works planned.
	All site personnel to be inducted on environmental responsibilities.	Review of induction and training records.	Monthly	Induction and training records [13]	С	All site personnel must be inducted prior to entry to site.



Target	Management Action	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
	The Development Envelope will be inspected, and a weed map prepared showing weed risk areas in the Development Envelope.	Weed inspection survey	Prior to ground disturbing activities	Inspection records Weed Survey [46] [48]	С	A weed survey was undertaken prior to ground disturbing activities.  No record of introduced weed species due to project (Yuri) during reporting period.
	Weed risk areas within the Disturbance Footprint will be demarcated.	Visual inspection of demarcated areas	Prior to commencement of and daily during construction activities	Inspection records PEMP [37]	С	Maps indicate weed risk area from weed surveys. Clearing was managed as per PEMP.
	All earthmoving mobile plant and light vehicles will be inspected and declared free of soil, weeds and weed propagules prior to mobilisation to the Development Envelope.	Inspection certificates and declarations	Prior to mobilisation to Development Envelope	Inspection records [16] [17]	С	Vehicles and equipment are inspected when exiting weed risk areas.
No introduction of weeds into the Development Envelope and no increase in the abundance or distribution of existing weeds within or outside the Development	All earthmoving mobile plant and light vehicles will be inspected and cleaned of soil, vegetative material and seeds on entry to and exit from the Disturbance Footprint.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records [16] [17]	С	Vehicles and equipment are inspected when exiting weed risk areas.
Envelope	Vehicle and equipment access will be limited to designated roads, access tracks and cleared areas.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records [11]	С	Access roads and perimeter flagging clearly demarcated.
	Vehicles and equipment working in weed risk areas will be inspected and cleaned of soil, vegetative material and seeds prior to traversing to other areas of the Disturbance Footprint.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records [16] [17]	С	Vehicles and equipment are inspected when exiting weed risk areas.
	Imported soil and fill material brought to site will be certified weed free by the supplier.	Certificate provided for each load of imported material	Prior to delivery of each load of material	Material specification certificates [58]	С	Fill certified weed free
	The Development Envelope will be periodically inspected for presence of weeds and the weed map updated.	Weed inspection surveys	In accordance with the Weed Control Management Plan (example in Appendix B)	Weed Survey [46] [48] Weed Spraying [60]	С	Weeds are periodically sprayed and managed within the project area. No new species of weeds have been recorded.
Contain and control weed species present in the Development Envelope	Weeds in the Development Envelope will be controlled using methods relevant to the location, coverage and species of weed.	Weed inspection surveys Audit of Weed Control Management Plan	In accordance with the Weed Control Management Plan (example in Appendix B)	Weed and Seed Register [17] Weed Spraying [60] Weed Survey [46] [48]	С	Controls (e.g. hand weeding, spraying) are used to reduce the number of weeds within the disturbance footprint.



Target	Management Action	Monitoring	Timing/ frequency of monitoring	Records	Status	Further Information
	All site personnel will be inducted on awareness and identification of high risk/priority weed species relevant to the proposal and weed hygiene management actions and monitoring requirements.	Audit of induction and training records.	Monthly	Induction and training records [13] Weed identification guide [21]	С	Yuri Site Orientation covers the identification of high risk/priority weed species.
Minimise indirect impacts to surrounding/adjacent areas from	Existing drainage channels will be left unaltered unless approved by the Site Manager.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records	С	Existing drainage channels have been left unaltered
altered surface water drainage and flows	Surface water running off construction areas will be managed to prevent pollution and erosion.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records	С	Stormwater channels divert runoff from the project site.
	All non-essential work to cease if a Total Fire Ban (TFB) or bushfire Emergency Warning is issued for the locality.	Review EmergencyWA website (www.emergency.wa.gov.au)	Daily during construction activities	Website access records  Permit to work records [14]	С	No non-essential work occurred during a Total Fire Ban. Hot work permits address Total Fire Ban requirements.
	All vehicles and machinery undertaking ground disturbing activities to be fitted with portable fire extinguishers.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Fire Extinguisher Inspection records [15]	С	All vehicles have fire extinguishers.
No unplanned fires because of Proposal activities	Vehicles and equipment access limited to designated roads/access tracks and cleared areas.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Inspection records [11]	С	Access roads and perimeter flagging clearly demarcated.
	Implement permit to work system for hot-works.	Review of issued permits to work	Weekly during construction activities	Permit to work records [14]	С	Example Hot Works Permit provided.
	Smoking will be confined to designated smoking areas only.	Surveillance and inspection	Daily during construction activities	Induction and training records [13]	С	YPF's online induction through Scodle (Yara Induction) indicates designated smoking area.
	All site personnel to be inducted on environmental responsibilities, including hygiene management.	Review of induction and training records.	Monthly	Induction and training records [13]  Yuri Induction [20]	С	Site inductions cover environmental responsibilities (Yuri Induction and YPF Scodle inductions).



Table 5: Impact Reconciliation Procedure (IRP) Audit Table

Requirement	How	Records	Status	Further Information
<ul> <li>YPF will develop and implement a ground disturbance procedure for clearing for the Proposal. This procedure will include:         <ul> <li>Demarcating the Disturbance Footprint boundary using survey data and appropriate visual markers prior to ground disturbing activities;</li> <li>Visual inspection and approval of Disturbance Footprint boundary prior to ground disturbing activities; and</li> <li>Visual inspection and record of cleared areas to be undertaken post-clearing.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Develop a Ground Disturbance Procedure that:	Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan [4] PEMP [37]	С	Ground disturbance procedure is included within the Project Environmental Management Plan (PEMP) and Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP).
Following ground disturbing activities, YPF will utilise on-site visual inspection and aerial imagery in combination with baseline mapping shapefiles and GIS technology to determine the extent of native vegetation and terrestrial fauna habitat cleared at the end of each financial year within the reporting period.	Determine the extent of native vegetation and terrestrial fauna habitat cleared at the end of each financial year within the reporting period by using:  on-site visual inspection; and aerial imagery in combination with baseline mapping shapefiles and GIS technology	On-site visual inspections  Aerial Imagery [19]  Impact Reconciliation Report [53]	С	Ground disturbing activities commenced 1 December 2022. As per the IRR approximately 19.48 hectares of land have been cleared, 0.12 hectares during the reporting period.
An Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR) will be submitted in accordance with this IRP no later than four months after the conclusion of the first biennial reporting period.	Develop IRR in accordance to IRP and submit by the 30 October after the second 30 June after ground disturbing activities commence.	Impact Reconciliation Report [53]  Submission to CEO [54]	С	The first biennial reporting period completed 30 June 2024. Submission of the Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR) was completed on the 23 October 2024, eight (8) days prior to due date.
<ul> <li>Information to be included in the IRR will include:         <ul> <li>The total cleared area that has occurred during each financial year of Period 1, attributed by environmental value (i.e., Flora and Vegetation and Terrestrial Fauna habitat) and IBRA subregion (i.e., Roebourne IBRA subregion);</li> <li>Information used to validate impact areas, including aerial imagery, digitised polygons showing cleared areas, and any on-site visual inspection notes or photographs used to determine impacts for the reporting period;</li> <li>Information regarding any exemptions, other clearing approvals or reduction to contributions to the fund, where relevant; and</li> <li>Details and spatial data for historical impacts which are excluded from offset contributions, where relevant.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IRR will include:         <ul> <li>Total cleared area each financial year, attributed by environmental value and IBRA subregion;</li> <li>Information used to validate impact areas, including aerial imagery, digitised polygons showing cleared areas, and any on-site visual inspection notes or photographs;</li> <li>Information regarding any exemptions, other clearing approvals or reduction to contributions to the fund; and</li> </ul> </li> <li>Details and spatial data for historical impacts which are excluded from offset contributions, where relevant.</li> </ul>	Impact Reconciliation Report [53]	С	The first biennial reporting period completed 30 June 2024. Submission of the Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR) was completed on the 23 October 2024.



31-10-2024 720-200-CAR-YUR-0002 Rev 0

### Appendix 3 – Register of Documents and Records Reviewed

- [1] Ministerial Statement 1194 Ammonia Plant, Murujuga (Burrup Peninsula), Renewable Hydrogen Project, 4 of August 2022
- [2] Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Compliance Assessment Plan Ministerial Statement 1194 Ammonia Plant, Renewable Hydrogen Project (Ref: HESQ-YPF-PRO-035-02)
- [3] Approved Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) Rev 2, 14 November 2022
- [4] Approved Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) Rev 2, 18 November 2022
- [5] Approved Impact Reconciliation Procedure (IRP) Rev 4, November 2022
- [6] 250-200-LET-DWER-0014- Compliance Assessment Plan Submission, 1 December 2022
- [7] 250-200-LET-DWER-0017- Compliance Assessment Plan Revision, 18 April 2023
- [8] 250-200-LET-DWER-0018- Compliance Assessment Plan Revision, 18 August 2023
- [9] Noise Monitoring 2023-24
- [10] Heritage Exclusion Areas: Aerial Imagery September 2023 and May 2024
- [11] Heritage Inspection Record Demarcation Example
- [12] Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) Induction Certificates
- [13] Yuri Project Induction List
- [14] Hot Works Permit Example, 15 April 2024
- [15] Fire Extinguisher Inspection Records
- [16] Weed and Seed Certificate Example
- [17] Weed and Seed Register
- [18] MAC Heritage Inspection Records
- [19] Vegetation Clearing: Aerial Imagery September 2023 and May 2024
- [20] Yuri Project Induction Monford
- [21] Yuri Site Orientation Monford
- [22] 250-200-LET-YPF-0042- Dust Breach Notification (7 and 13 March 2024) to MAC, 28 March 2024
- [23] 250-200-LET-YPF-0043- Dust Breach Notification (7 and 13 March 2024) to DWER, 28 March 2024
- [24] Letter (250-214-LET-DWER-004) and Incident Investigation Report (7 and 13 March 2024)-Dust Generation, 26 June 2024
- [25] Dust Breach Incident Investigation Report Submission to DWER and MAC (7 and 13 March 2024), 26 June 2024
- [26] 250-214-LET-DWER-0002- Clearing Breach, 23 April 2024
- [27] Incident Investigation Report- Clearing Breach, 15 May 2024



- [28] 250-200-LET-YPF-0044- Lime Dust Breach (3 April), 10 April 2024
- [29] Lime Dust (3 April 2024) Notification to DWER and MAC, 10 April 2024
- [30] MAC Site Access Records
- [31] Updated Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) (Rev 4) and Submission Email- 18 April 2023
- [32] Low Sulphur Fuel Receipts Recharge Petroleum
- [33] Machinery Maintenance Vibration Mitigation
- [34] SWMS Ground Disturbance
- [35] Incidents and Complaints Synergi (Yara) and Monford
- [36] 250-214-LET-DWER-0005 Condition 3-6 (5) Non-Compliance Notification, 9 October 2024
- [37] Project Environmental Management plan (PEMP) Monford
- [38] Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) CEO Approval (Rev 2), 16 November 2022
- [39] Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Management Plan (CHVAMP) (Rev 0) Submission Letter and Email, 12 October 2022
- [40] Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Plan (CHVAMP) Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) Circle of Elders (CoE) Endorsement, 12 October 2022
- [41] Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) Collaboration Cultural Heritage and Visual Amenity Plan (CHVAMP) Development
- [42] Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) (Rev 2) CEO Approval, 22 November 2022
- [43] Biological Environmental Survey Letter Reduce SRE Area to 10m Buffer, 7 February 2023
- [44] Biological Environmental Survey Report Targeted SRE Survey, May 2022
- [45] M.J. & A.R. Bamford Consulting Ecologists– Yara Pilbara Pre-clearing Fauna Survey, 20 November 2022
- [46] Vicki Long and Associates Yuri Pre-clearing Weed Survey Zone B, 7 November 2022
- [47] DWER Request for Updated TFWMP Remove Reference to SRE Buffer, 17 April 2023
- [48] Vicki Long and Associates Yuri Pre-clearing Weed Survey Report, November 2022
- [49] Impact Reconciliation Procedure (IRP) (Rev 4) CEO Approval, 9 November 2022
- [50] Impact Reconciliation Procedure (IRP) Submission Letter (Rev 4), 7 October 2022
- [51] Terrestrial Fauna and Weed Management Plan (TFWMP) Submission Letter (Rev 2), 27 October 2022
- [52] MS1194 2023 Compliance Assessment Report, 3 November 2023
- [53] Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR), 22 October 2024
- [54] Impact Reconciliation Report (IRR) Submission to DWER, 23 October 2024



- [55] Lime Dust Breach (3 April 2024) Incident Investigation Report Submission to DWER, 23 October 2024
- [56] 250-214-LET-DWER-0006 Condition 2-6 (4) Non-Compliance Notification, 23 October 2024
- [57] Incident Investigation Lime Dust Submissions to MAC, 22 April 2024
- [58] Imported Fill Certificate, 31 May 2024
- [59] Revegetation Management Plan DRAFT, 4 June 2024
- [60] Weed Spraying Notifications, February, July, and August 2024